Distinct Effects of Δ 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol and Cannabidiol on Neural Activation During Emotional Processing

Paolo Fusar-Poli, MD; José A. Crippa, MD, PhD; Sagnik Bhattacharyya, MD; Stefan J. Borgwardt, MD, PhD; Paul Allen, PhD; Rocio Martin-Santos, MD, PhD; Marc Seal, MD, PhD; Simon A. Surguladze, MD, PhD; Colin O'Carrol, PhD; Zerrin Atakan, MD, PhD; Antonio W. Zuardi, MD, PhD; Philip K. McGuire, MD, PhD

Context: Cannabis use can both increase and reduce anxiety in humans. The neurophysiological substrates of these effects are unknown.

Objective: To investigate the effects of 2 main psychoactive constituents of *Cannabis sativa* (Δ 9-tetrahydrocannabinol [Δ 9-THC] and cannabidiol [CBD]) on regional brain function during emotional processing.

Design: Subjects were studied on 3 separate occasions using an event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging paradigm while viewing faces that implicitly elicited different levels of anxiety. Each scanning session was preceded by the ingestion of either 10 mg of Δ 9-THC, 600 mg of CBD, or a placebo in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled design.

Participants: Fifteen healthy, English-native, righthanded men who had used cannabis 15 times or less in their life.

Main Outcome Measures: Regional brain activation (blood oxygenation level–dependent response), electrodermal activity (skin conductance response [SCR]), and objective and subjective ratings of anxiety. **Results:** Δ 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol increased anxiety, as well as levels of intoxication, sedation, and psychotic symptoms, whereas there was a trend for a reduction in anxiety following administration of CBD. The number of SCR fluctuations during the processing of intensely fearful faces increased following administration of CBD. Cannabidiol attenuated the blood oxygenation level–dependent signal in the amygdala and the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex while subjects were processing intensely fearful faces, and its suppression of the amygdalar and anterior cingulate responses was correlated with the concurrent reduction in SCR fluctuations. Δ 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol mainly modulated activation in frontal and parietal areas.

Conclusions: Δ 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol and CBD had clearly distinct effects on the neural, electrodermal, and symptomatic response to fearful faces. The effects of CBD on activation in limbic and paralimbic regions may contribute to its ability to reduce autonomic arousal and subjective anxiety, whereas the anxiogenic effects of Δ 9-THC may be related to effects in other brain regions.

Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2009;66(1):95-105

NGESTION OF CANNABIS CAN MODUlate anxiety levels, although the direction of this effect is variable. Long-term cannabis use has been associated with anxiety symptoms,^{1,4} panic attacks,⁵ and an increased risk of anxiety disorders,⁶⁻⁸ although the latter remains controversial.^{9,10} Acute increases in anxiety can also occur following cannabis use.11,12 However, cannabis use can also lead to sedation and relaxation, with users often reporting that they take the drug to alleviate psychosocial stress, anxiety, and agoraphobia,^{2,13-15} increasing the likelihood of subsequent cannabis abuse.11,16 Also, patients with psychotic disorders report that they use cannabis to reduce the anxiety associated with psychotic symptoms^{17,18} and increased anxiety is a feature of withdrawal from regular cannabis use.¹⁹ There

is thus evidence that cannabis can have both anxiogenic and anxiolytic effects. These apparently conflicting observations may partly reflect the fact that Cannabis sativa contains multiple compounds that may have different psychoactive properties.²⁰ In particular, Δ 9-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ 9-THC) and cannabidiol (CBD) are the most abundant and both can modulate anxiety. Immediate administration of $\Delta 9$ -THC can increase anxiety²¹ but has also been reported to reduce anxiety and improve sleep.^{22,23} This may parallel evidence from studies in experimental animals reporting that low doses of Δ 9-THC have anxiolytic effects whereas high doses are anxiogenic.24-26 In contrast, CBD has anxiolytic effects in both animals and humans,27-31 and when coadministered with Δ 9-THC, it can reduce the anxiety and psychotic symptoms induced

Author Affiliations are listed at the end of this article.

(REPRINTED) ARCH GEN PSYCHIATRY/VOL 66 (NO. 1), JAN 2009 WWW.A

WWW.ARCHGENPSYCHIATRY.COM

by the latter cannabinoid.³² These differences in the behavioral effects of Δ 9-THC and CBD are paralleled by differences in their mechanism of action at the molecular level. Δ 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol binds to neuronal CB1 receptors,³³ which are found on GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons throughout the brain and are thought to be receptors for endogenous anandamide.³⁴⁻³⁶ Since CBD has a very low affinity for the cannabinoid CB1 receptors^{77,38} and does not bind to benzodiazepine receptors,²⁹ the molecular mechanisms underlying its anxiolyticlike activity are still unclear. It may activate vanilloid receptors and inhibit the cellular uptake and enzymatic hydrolysis of anandamide,^{39,40} activate serotonin 5HT_{1A} receptors,⁴¹ and inhibit uptake of adenosine.⁴²

Functional neuroimaging provides a sensitive means of examining how cannabis acts on the brain. Although previous neuroimaging studies that have compared longterm cannabis users with healthy controls have demonstrated altered brain activity in prefrontal and cerebellar regions during cognitive tasks,43 no study has investigated the effect of cannabis on emotional processing. Moreover, comparisons of long-term cannabis users and healthy controls are confounded by demographic, psychiatric, and cognitive differences between these groups, and because cannabis comprises several different psychoactive ingredients, it is unclear which of its constituents are responsible for the findings. The aim of the present study was to use functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to investigate the neurophysiological basis of the effects of cannabis on anxiety, focusing on Δ 9-THC and CBD. We measured the effects of controlled doses of each compound on regional brain activity in healthy volunteers while they were viewing faces with fearful expressions that implicitly provoked anxiety. Subjects were scanned on 3 separate occasions, with each session preceded by ingestion of either $\Delta 9$ -THC, CBD, or placebo, in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled design. We recorded electrodermal skin conductance responses (SCRs) as a measure of autonomic arousal and assessed the severity of anxiety before, during, and after scanning using subjective and objective instruments. We expected that viewing fearful relative to neutral faces would be associated with activation in a distributed network of areas including extrastriate, prefrontal, cingulated, and medial temporal cortex and the amygdala44,45 and an altered electrodermal response.46,47 We tested the hypothesis that CBD would be associated with an attenuation of blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) signal in response to fearful faces in the limbic and paralimbic components of this network (the amygdala and the parahippocampal and cingulate cortex) and an attenuation of the electrodermal response.³⁰ A further prediction was that these effects would not be evident with Δ 9-THC, which if anything, would have effects in the opposite direction.³²

METHODS

SUBJECTS

Fifteen healthy, English-native, right-handed men (mean [SD] age, 26.67 [5.7] years; age range, 18-35 years) who had a lifetime exposure to cannabis of 15 times or less, with no cannabis use in the last month, no personal or family history of psychiatric illness, and

no alcohol or other drug abuse (see later) or dependence were recruited through advertisement in the local media.

Mean (SD) IQ measured using the National Adult Reading Test⁴⁸ was 98.67 (7.0). Cannabis and other illicit substance use was assessed using the Addiction Severity Index and drug abuse was defined as "moderate use of small quantities regularly or large amounts occasionally."⁴⁹ Participants were requested to abstain from any recreational drug use and medicines for the duration of the study, alcohol intake for 24 hours, and caffeine for 12 hours before each study day. Prior to each session, subjects had urine drug screen analyses for amphetamines, benzodiazepines, cocaine, methamphetamine, opiates, and Δ 9-THC using immunometric assay kits. No participants had positive results. The study was approved by the local ethical committee and all participants gave their informed consent.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Each participant was scanned 3 times with a 1-month interval between scans. After at least 8 hours of fasting, subjects were instructed to have a light standardized breakfast 2 hours before the experiment. Prior to each scanning session, participants were given gelatin capsules of either 10 mg of Δ 9-THC or 600 mg of CBD (both approximately 99.6% and 99.9% pure, respectively, and supplied by THC-Pharm, Frankfurt, Germany) or a capsule of placebo (flour).

These were identical in appearance and taste and neither the experimenters nor the participants knew what tablets were being administered in a double-blind procedure. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans and electrodermal activity (SCRs) were taken between 1 and 2 hours after administration of the drug. Periodic (at baseline and 1, 2, and 3 hours postadministration) psychopathological ratings (mood, Visual Analogue Mood Scale⁵⁰ [VAMS]; anxiety, Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory⁵¹ [STAI]; intoxication, Analogue Intoxication Scale⁵² [AIS]; psychotic symptoms, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale⁵³ [PANSS]) were collected in all participants. Prior to the experiment each volunteer had performed a training session completing all the scales. Blood samples were taken at the same points from an indwelling intravenous catheter in the nondominant arm of each participant to monitor the levels of drugs (CBD and Δ 9-THC as measured in the whole blood by Tricho-Tech, Cardiff, Wales). Heart rate and blood pressure were monitored continuously throughout the procedure. All these procedures were conducted by psychiatrists (P.F.P. and S.B) experienced in the clinical effects of $\Delta 9\text{-THC}$ and CBD who monitored participant well-being during the entire session. No serious adverse events (death, hospitalization, emergency department visit) occurred during the study. Three subjects from the original samples (n=18) had a psychotic reaction (as assessed by the PANSS and clinical manifestation) to Δ 9-THC administration and were excluded since they were unable to perform the tests (final sample, n=15). These subjects were followed up for 24 hours until the psychotic symptoms relieved. They were further monitored monthly and remained well, with no psychiatric or clinical symptoms.

fMRI PARADIGM

Study subjects participated in one 6-minute experiment using event-related fMRI, where they were presented with 10 different facial identities, each expressing 50% (mildly fearful) or 100% (intensely fearful) intensities of fear or a neutral expression (Facial Expressions of Emotion: Stimuli and Tests).⁵⁴ There were thus 30 different facial stimuli in total; each stimulus was presented twice for 2 seconds. Individuals therefore viewed 60 stimuli in total. The order of facial identities and expression

(REPRINTED) ARCH GEN PSYCHIATRY/VOL 66 (NO. 1), JAN 2009

type was pseudorandomized such that there was no successive presentation of the same identity or facial expression type. During the interstimulus interval, the duration of which was varied from 3 seconds to 8 seconds according to a Poisson distribution with an average interval of 5.9 seconds, individuals viewed a fixation cross.⁵⁵ They were requested to decide on the gender of face stimuli and press 1 of 2 buttons accordingly. Throughout image acquisition, accuracy and reaction times were monitored via button press and recorded on a PC. Other cognitive paradigms were completed at the same time, the results of which are not reported in this article.

IMAGE ACQUISITION

Images were acquired on a 1.5-T Sigma system (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) at the Maudsley Hospital, London, England. T2*-weighted images were acquired with a repetition time of 2 seconds, echo time of 40 milliseconds, and flip angle of 90° in 16 axial planes (7 mm thick), parallel to the anterior commissure–posterior commissure line. A highresolution inversion recovery image data set was also acquired to facilitate anatomical localization of activation.

IMAGE PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS

Functional MRI data were analyzed with statistical parametric mapping software (SPM5; Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London) running under the MATLAB7.1 environment. All volumes were realigned to the first volume, corrected for motion artifacts, mean adjusted by proportional scaling, normalized into standard stereotactic space (template provided by the Montreal Neurological Institute), and smoothed using a 6-mm full-width-at-half-maximum gaussian kernel. The time series were high-pass filtered to eliminate low-frequency components (filter width, 128 seconds) and adjusted for systematic differences across trials. The onset times (in seconds) for each trial of neutral, mildly fearful, and intensely fearful faces convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function. Each task condition (neutral, mildly fearful, and intensely fearful) was then contrasted against the baseline condition (cross fixation) for each of the drug treatments (placebo, CBD, and Δ 9-THC). A further comparison contrasted all fearful faces (mildly plus fearful) against neutral faces for each drug treatment (placebo, CBD, and Δ 9-THC) to control for activation related to processing faces independent of their emotional expression. To test our hypothesis that there were betweengroup differences, the activation for each task condition was then compared between drugs, using an analysis of variance withinsubjects test. Small-volumes correction (sphere of 12-mm radius) was used for clusters observed in hypothesized regions of interest (limbic and paralimbic areas). Whole-brain voxel-wise threshold was set at P = .001, uncorrected, with an extent threshold of more than 20 continuous voxels/clusters.30 Regional activation was reported at a cluster threshold of P < .05 corrected. To investigate the effects of symptom measures (anxiety, intoxication, sedation, positive symptoms) and of the SCR on brain activation, mean change (between 1-2 hours after administration of the drugs, the time when the images were acquired) in the STAI, AIS, VAMS, and PANSS positive symptoms subscale scores and the number of SCR fluctuations (as recorded during the scanning) were, respectively, used as covariates for the contrasts between Δ 9-THC/CBD and placebo.

SCR ANALYSIS

Skin conductance was recorded during the fMRI scanning via a pair of silver-silver chloride electrodes with 0.05M sodium chloride gel placed on the distal phalanges of digits II and III of the

nondominant hand. The electrode pairs were supplied by a constant voltage and the current change representing conductance was recorded using the DC amplifier. The number, amplitude, and rise time of SCR fluctuations were recorded. A fluctuation was defined by an unambiguous increase (0.01 microsecond) with respect to each pretarget stimulus baseline and occurring 0.5 to 3 seconds after the target face stimulus.⁵⁶ The fluctuation amplitude was measured as the difference in skin conductance level from the onset (the skin conductance measure before the first rising data point) to the fluctuation peak. The number and amplitude of SCRs were scored using customized software that allows each SCR to be linked to the individual eliciting stimulus.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

SPSS version 15.00 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois) was used to analyze performance and questionnaire data. Measures of task performance, symptom ratings, physiological data, and drug levels were analyzed using repeated-measures analyses of variance to compare drug conditions. When significant differences were found, using a significance level of 95%, the Tukey test for pairwise comparisons was applied. Using power calculations, ⁵⁷ we estimated the number of subjects required for detecting significant differences in the amygdala between the placebo condition and the CBD condition with an α (type I error) of .05 and a power of 90%.³⁰ The anticipated within-group SD was 0.035 and the anticipated minimal difference was 0.037; this resulted in a sample size of 12.

RESULTS

The physiological and behavioral results are based on ratings made at 1 and 2 hours after drug administration, the period during which the fMRI data were acquired.

PHYSIOLOGICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL RESULTS

At 1 and 2 hours after drug administration, the mean (SD) blood levels of Δ 9-THC were 3.9 (7.3) and 5.1 (5.6) ng/ mL, respectively, and the mean (SD) blood levels of CBD were 4.7 (7) and 17 (29) ng/mL. Compared with placebo, neither Δ 9-THC nor CBD significantly affected heart rate or blood pressure at these points (*P* < .05), although we did identify a (nonsignificant) trend for an increase in heart rate with THC: 1.93 (SD 5.74) beats/min and 8.79 (SD 16.31) beats/min at 1 and 2 hours after baseline.

SYMPTOM RATINGS

No significant differences were observed between the drug conditions at baseline for any symptom variable (P > .05). Pairwise comparisons revealed that mean anxiety (STAI), intoxication (AIS), sedation (VAMS mental sedation subscale), PANSS positive symptoms subscale (**Figure 1**), PANSS negative symptoms subscale, PANSS general psychopathology subscale, and PANSS total scores (eFigure, http://archgenpsychiatry.com) were significantly increased following Δ 9-THC as compared with placebo administration (P < .05). Compared with placebo, CBD administration did not significantly change subject rating on any of these measures. However, there was a trend (P = .06) for reduction in anxiety following CBD relative to placebo administration on the VAMS anxiety and tranquilization subscale. There was no statistically signifi-



Figure 1. Psychopathological effect of Δ 9-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ 9-THC) and cannabidol (CBD) over time. Plots showing changes in positive symptoms as indexed by Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) positive symptom ratings (A), anxiety as indexed by State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) ratings (B), sedation as indexed by Visual Analogue Mood Scale (VAMS) mental sedation subscale rating (C), and intoxication as indexed by Analogue Intoxication Scale (AIS) rating (D) under the effect of Δ 9-THC, CBD, and placebo over time. Error bars show standard error of the mean.

cant effect of session order or an interaction effect (drug × session order) on behavioral symptoms.

TASK PERFORMANCE

Cannabidiol

Cannabidiol had no significant effect on gender discrimination relative to placebo. Participants were able to distinguish male and female faces with a mean (SD) accuracy of 83.45% (2.63%) following placebo administration and 83.44% (3.16%) following CBD administration (t=0.10; P=.99). A main effect for valence was present (F=16.33; P<.001); for both manipulations, accuracy was better for fearful than neutral faces (all t tests P<.05). Cannabidiol had no significant effect on reaction times (F=0.241; P=.63) (**Figure 2**). There was a significant main effect for valence (F=13.89; P<.01); reaction time was significantly faster when processing intensely fearful faces than processing mildly fearful and neutral faces (P<.05). The interaction between valence and drug (placebo/CBD) was nonsignificant (F=0.79; P=.48).

Δ 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol

 Δ 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol had no effect on the ability of participants to distinguish male and female faces (mean

[SD] accuracy of 83.45% [2.63%] following placebo administration and 82.49% [3.86%] following Δ 9-THC administration; *t*=-1.16; *P*=.27). There was a significant effect for valence (*F*=12.63; *P*=.001), with better accuracy when processing fearful than neutral faces (all *t* tests *P*<.05), but no interaction between valence (neutral/mildly fearful/intensely fearful faces) and drug (placebo/ Δ 9-THC) (*F*=0.825; *P*=.46).

Analysis of reaction times revealed that there was a significant effect for valence (F=7.56; P<.01) but no significant effect for drug (F=0.155; P=.70) and no interaction between valence and drug (F=0.22; P=.86).

SCR RESULTS

SCR Fluctuations

Repeated-measures analyses of the effects of valence (neutral/mildly fearful/intensely fearful) and drug (CBD/ placebo/ Δ 9-THC) revealed main effects of both valence (*F*=34.56; *P* < .01) and drug (*F*=23.37; *P* < .01) on the number of SCR fluctuations and a drug vs valence interaction (*F*=7.41; *P* < .05). Post hoc paired *t* tests revealed that, compared with placebo, Δ 9-THC increased SCR fluctuations during the processing of both intensely and mildly fearful faces but not neutral faces



Figure 2. Behavioral effect of Δ 9-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ 9-THC) and cannabidiol (CBD). A, Accuracy (left) and reaction time (right) of gender discrimination task across emotional processing (neutral, mildly fearful, intensely fearful) during the placebo or the CBD condition. Error bars show standard error of the mean. B, Accuracy (left) and reaction time (right) of gender discrimination task across emotional processing (neutral, mildly fearful) during the placebo or the Δ 9-THC condition. Error bars show standard error of the mean.

(P<.05). Conversely, relative to placebo, CBD significantly decreased the number of SCR fluctuations during the processing of intensely fearful, but not mildly fearful or neutral, faces (P<.05) (**Figure 3**).

SCR Amplitude

Repeated-measures analysis revealed a main effect of valence on SCR amplitude (F=4.88; P<.05), with a greater amplitude for intensely fearful than neutral faces (P<.05). There was also a main effect of drug (F=6.75; P<.05) due to Δ 9-THC increasing the amplitude of SCR relative to both CBD and placebo (P<.05). No significant interaction between drug and valence (F=0.135; P>.05) was found.

SCR Fluctuation Latency

Neither drug (F=0.582; P>.05) nor valence (F=0.506; P>.05) had a significant effect on SCR fluctuation latency.

fMRI RESULTS

Effect of Task (Independent of Drug)

Viewing neutral faces was associated with bilateral activation in the cuneus, fusiform gyrus, inferior occipital gyrus, lingual gyrus, and cerebellum and deactivation in the posterior part of the bilateral superior temporal gyrus. Viewing mildly fearful faces was associated with bilateral activation in the fusiform gyrus, cuneus, lingual

⁽REPRINTED) ARCH GEN PSYCHIATRY/VOL 66 (NO. 1), JAN 2009 WWW.ARCHGENPSYCHIATRY.COM 99



Figure 3. Number of skin conductance response (SCR) fluctuations across psychopharmacological challenge. Error bars show standard error of the mean. CBD indicates cannabidiol; Δ 9-THC, Δ 9-tetrahydrocannabinol.

gyrus, and cerebellum and in the left parahippocampal, postcentral, and medial frontal gyri. Viewing intensely fearful faces was associated with activation in the left cuneus, the right superior occipital gyrus, the cerebellum bilaterally, the left parahippocampal gyrus and amygdala, the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, the left inferior and superior parietal lobule, and the right middle frontal, right inferior frontal, and left superior frontal gyri.

Effects of CBD and Δ 9-THC on Activation

CBD vs Placebo. Cannabidiol did not significantly affect activation during the processing of neutral faces. During the processing of 50% fearful faces, CBD decreased activation in a region in the posterior lobe of the cerebellum bilaterally (lobule VI) but was not associated with any increases in activation (eTable). The most marked effects of CBD on activation were evident when subjects were processing intensely (100%) fearful faces. Cannabidiol attenuated the BOLD signal in a left medial temporal region, which included the amygdala and the adjacent part of the anterior parahippocampal gyrus, and in the anterior and posterior cingulate gyri, the left middle occipital gyrus, and the right posterior lobe of the cerebellum (Figure 4A and eTable). The attenuation of BOLD signal in both the left amygdala and the anterior cingulate significantly covaried with the number of SCR fluctuations while processing 100% fearful faces (Figure 4B). Covarying for AIS and STAI scores had no influence on the effect of CBD on activation in these or any of the other regions.

When the analysis was repeated using neutral faces as the baseline condition rather than visual fixation, CBD decreased activation in the left anterior cingulate, right posterior cingulate, left amygdala, and right cerebellum during the processing of fearful faces (mildly plus intensely fearful), but there was no effect on activation in the occipital cortex (eTable).

Δ9-THC vs Placebo. During the processing of neutral faces, Δ9-THC increased activation in a cluster spanning the posterior-middle temporal gyrus and the left in-

ferior parietal lobule (x = -40, y = -56, z = -24; number of voxels = 123, z = 6.05) and was not associated with reduced activation in any region. During the processing of mildly fearful faces, Δ 9-THC increased activation in the right inferior parietal lobule and was associated with decreased activation in the left medial frontal gyrus (eTable). During the processing of intensely fearful faces, $\Delta 9$ -THC increased activation in the left precuneus and in the primary sensorimotor cortex bilaterally but decreased activation in the middle frontal gyrus bilaterally and in the posterior cingulate gyrus (Figure 5 and eTable). Covarying for STAI, PANSS, and AIS scores had no effect on the effect of Δ 9-THC on activation in these or any of the other regions. During the processing of fearful faces (mildly plus intensely fearful), Δ 9-THC decreased activation in the right inferior frontal gyrus, right superior temporal gyrus, and left medial frontal gyrus and increased activation in the left precuneus (eTable).

COMMENT

The present study used fMRI to investigate the effects of the 2 main psychoactive constituents of *C* sativa, $\Delta 9$ -THC and CBD, on the neural substrate of emotional processing. To our knowledge, this is the first time neuro-imaging has been used to address this issue and the first time the effects of both $\Delta 9$ -THC and CBD have been assessed in the same subjects.

We used an event-related paradigm with faces that implicitly elicited different levels of anxiety.⁵⁵ As expected, processing fearful faces was associated with activation in a network of visual (precuneus, fusiform gyrus, lingual gyrus, cuneus, middle occipital gyrus), limbic (parahippocampal gyrus, amygdala), and paralimbic (posterior and anterior cingulated) regions that mediate the processing of facial emotion.44 These changes in activation were accompanied by changes in SCR that are typically seen with increased anxiety.46,47 These neural and electrodermal effects were not attributable to effects of the drugs on performance or attention, as CBD and Δ 9-THC did not significantly affect the speed or accuracy of performance on the gender discrimination task. The statistical power of fMRI data has been shown to be relatively robust even with small subject numbers.58 Functional neuroimaging techniques detect changes at the physiological level and are more sensitive than behavioral measures.⁵⁹

Our main hypothesis was that CBD would attenuate the BOLD response to fearful faces in limbic and paralimbic areas, as well as the accompanying electrodermal response, in line with its anxiolytic effects at the behavioral level.³⁰ Consistent with this prediction, CBD attenuated BOLD signal in response to intensely fearful faces in the amygdala and the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, regions that play a crucial role in mediating responses to anxiogenic stimuli (see later). Fearful faces presented as in the present study, in which they alternate with neutral faces, provoke a transient anxious response to each stimulus without necessarily producing a persistent elevation in anxiety.⁵⁵ This may explain why CBD did not have a significant effect on the ratings of anxiety during the course of the experiment, consis-



Figure 4. Effect of cannabidiol (CBD) on the brain activation during processing of intensely fearful faces. A, Functional overlay: placebo > CBD. Left side of the Figure is the left side of the brain. B, Correlation between blood oxygenation level–dependent response in the left amygdala during the processing of intensely fearful faces (placebo > CBD) and skin conductance response (SCR).

tent with evidence that CBD can only reduce anxiety if it is already elevated.^{20,27,29,31,32,40,60} Nevertheless, there was a trend for reduced subjective anxiety following CBD relative to placebo administration on the VAMS anxiety and tranquilization subscale.

The amygdala is normally activated when subjects are presented with fearful compared with neutral faces,⁶¹⁻⁶⁶ and patients with amygdalar lesions are impaired at recognizing fearful faces⁶⁷ and show abnormal electrodermal responses.^{68,69} Although making gender judgments about faces, the explicit requirement of the task we used, may also activate the amygdala,⁷⁰ this was a component of all conditions and is therefore unlikely to have accounted for the effect of CBD relative to placebo. The effect of CBD on activation was significant in the left, but not the right, amygdala. Previous studies suggest that the processing of negative faces preferentially involves the left amygdala,^{62,71} while activation in the right amygdala has been associated with processing exaggerated⁶¹ or masked facial expressions of fear,⁷² auditory presentations of fear,⁶⁴ and aversive tastes.⁷³ The correlation between the magnitude of the effect of CBD on the amygdalar response to fearful faces and its effect on the electrodermal response to the same stimuli is consistent with evidence that electrical stimulation of the amygdala enhances the SCR in experimental animals⁴⁶ and that the SCR during emotional processing in humans is correlated with activity in the amygdala.^{46,47,74}

Cannabidiol also modulated the response to fearful faces in the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex. The



Figure 5. Effect of Δ 9-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ 9-THC) on brain activation during processing of intensely fearful faces, glass brain. Functional overlays: Δ 9-THC > placebo (top) and placebo > Δ 9-THC (bottom). Left side of the Figure is the left side of the brain.

cingulate cortex is critically involved in processing emotional information both in animals^{75,76} and in humans.⁷⁷ The anterior cingulate cortex is anatomically connected to the amygdala,⁷⁸ and neuroimaging studies in humans indicate that the anterior cingulate cortex is engaged with the amygdala in response to fear and anxiety.⁷⁹⁻⁸¹ Our findings of effects of CBD in the amygdala and cingulate cortex are consistent with those in the only previous neuroimaging study involving CBD. Using single-photon emission tomography, that study found that CBD modulated resting activity in the left amygdala and the left posterior cingulate among other brain areas, in association with an anxiolytic effect.³⁰

Although this was not predicted, we also found that CBD modulated activation in the posterior lobule of the cerebellum (lobule VI) during the processing of fearful faces. There is increasing evidence that the cerebellum plays a role in emotional processing.⁸² Patients with lesions in the posterior lobule of the cerebellum (the "cognitive cerebellum") can experience a flattening or blunting of emotions,83 and cerebellar activation has been observed in lobule VI in response to externally generated emotions such as happiness, sadness, or disgust.84 This region has also been implicated in conditioned fear,^{85,86} which is attenuated by CBD⁸⁷ in animal models. Abnormalities in resting cerebellar activity have been reported in neuroimaging studies of regular cannabis users,88-94 but as this has also been evident in studies involving THC,^{87,88,92} it is unclear whether the findings in cannabis users were related to an effect of CBD.

The mechanism of action of CBD at the molecular level is still unclear. Anxiogenic situations may lead to the release of the endogenous cannabinoid anandamide in the amygdala⁹⁵; anandamide may in turn influence emotional states by regulating outputs from the amygdala to other brain regions.^{96,97} Cannabidiol inhibits the hydrolysis of anandamide in mouse brain microsomes^{39,96,99} and the carriermediated cellular uptake of anandamide in mast cells,³⁹ suggesting that administration of CBD may enhance endogenous anandamide activity. Overall, the production of anandamide by amygdalar activation in response to fear could be part of a negative feedback system that limits anxiety and participates in the control of anxious states, and it has been suggested that anandamide hydrolysis may be a new target for antianxiety drugs.⁹⁶

As predicted, none of the earlier-mentioned effects of CBD on the amygdalar, cingulate, and electrodermal responses to fearful faces were evident following administration of Δ 9-THC. Indeed, Δ 9-THC had the opposite effect of CBD on the SCR and was associated with an increase in anxiety, rather than an anxiolytic effect.⁶⁰ The effects of Δ 9-THC on regional activation were largely in a quite different set of brain regions, primarily in the frontal and parietal cortex, and its effects were not correlated with its influence on skin conductance or anxiety. These observations are consistent with data from previous neuroimaging studies using Δ 9-THC, which have mainly reported effects on resting activity in frontal and cerebellar regions, as opposed to limbic areas.⁴³ Δ 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol has more extensive symptomatic and cognitive effects than CBD, which extend beyond emotional processing, including the induction of psychotic symptoms,^{21,100} impaired memory,^{101,102} and motor function.¹² Its effects on regional activation may be more evident in functional imaging studies involving tasks that engage these processes as opposed to emotional processing. Subjective responses to Δ 9-THC intoxication vary widely based on the individual, their prior experience, and expectations, ¹⁰³ in line with the observation that some subjects of the original sample (20%) developed full-blown paranoia and with the reported large variability of the PANNS positive symptoms subscale scores. Future imaging-genetic studies will address the genetic vulnerability underlying the individual sensitivity to immediate administration of THC.^{104,105}

CONCLUSIONS

Cannabidiol and $\Delta 9$ -THC had distinct modulatory effects on the regional neural response to fearful faces. Cannabidiol attenuated the neurofunctional engagement of the amygdala and cingulate cortex when subjects viewed intensely fearful stimuli and this effect was correlated with a reduction in the electrodermal response, consistent with behavioral evidence that it has anxiolytic effects. In contrast, $\Delta 9$ -THC modulated activation in frontal and parietal areas and was associated with an increase in anxiety and the electrodermal response.

Submitted for Publication: December 22, 2007; final revision received April 25, 2008; accepted May 27, 2008. Author Affiliations: Section of Neuroimaging (Drs Fusar-Poli, Crippa, Bhattacharyy, Borgwardt, Allen, Martin-Santos, Seal, O'Carrol, Atakan, and McGuire) and Cognitive Neuropsychiatry (Dr Surguladze), Division of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, London, England; Department of Applied and Psychobehavioural Health Sciences, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy (Dr Fusar-Poli); Department of Neurology, Psychiatry, and Medical Psychology, Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil (Drs Crippa and Zuardi); Psychiatric Outpatient Department, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland (Dr Borgwardt); and Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia (Dr Seal).

Correspondence: Paolo Fusar-Poli, MD, Neuroimaging Section, Division of Psychological Medicine, PO67, Institute of Psychiatry, De Crespigny Park 16, London SE58AF, England (p.fusar@libero.it).

Author Contributions: Dr Fusar-Poli takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by grants from the Psychiatry Research Trust, London, England. Dr Fusar-Poli is supported by the Guy's & St. Thomas' Charitable Foundation New Services and Innovations in Health Care. Drs Crippa and Zuardi are recipients of Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (Brazil) fellowships. Dr Bhattacharyya is supported by a joint Medical Research Council/Priory Clinical Research Training Fellowship Award from the Medical Research Council.

Additional Information: The eFigure and eTable are available at http://www.archgenpsychiatry.com.

REFERENCES

- Troisi A, Pasini A, Saracco M, Spalletta G. Psychiatric symptoms in male cannabis users not using other illicit drugs. *Addiction*. 1998;93(4):487-492.
- Reilly D, Didcott P, Swift W, Hall W. Long-term cannabis use: characteristics of users in an Australian rural area. *Addiction*. 1998;93(6):837-846.
- Clough AR, d'Abbs P, Cairney S, Gray D, Maruff P, Parker R, O'Reilly B. Adverse mental health effects of cannabis use in two indigenous communities in Arnhem Land, Northern Territory, Australia: exploratory study. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2005;39(7):612-620.
- Feeney GF, Connor JP, Young RM, Tucker J, McPherson A. Cannabis dependence and mental health perception amongst people diverted by police after arrest for cannabis-related offending behaviour in Australia. *Crim Behav Ment Health.* 2005;15(4):249-260.
- Zvolensky MJ, Bernstein A, Sachs-Ericsson N, Schmidt NB, Buckner JD, Bonn-Miller MO. Lifetime associations between cannabis, use, abuse, and dependence and panic attacks in a representative sample. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2006; 40(6):477-486.
- Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ. Early onset cannabis use and psychosocial adjustment in young adults. *Addiction*. 1997;92(3):279-296.
- Agosti V, Nunes E, Levin F. Rates of psychiatric comorbidity among US residents with lifetime cannabis dependence. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*. 2002; 28(4):643-652.
- Swadi H, Bobier C. Substance use disorder comorbidity among inpatient youths with psychiatric disorder. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2003;37(3):294-298.
- Windle M, Wiesner M. Trajectories of marijuana use from adolescence to young adulthood: predictors and outcomes. *Dev Psychopathol.* 2004;16(4):1007-1027.
- Gilder DA, Lau P, Dixon M, Corey L, Phillips E, Ehlers CL. Co-morbidity of select anxiety, affective, and psychotic disorders with cannabis dependence in Southwest California Indians. J Addict Dis. 2006;25(4):67-79.
- Tournier M, Sorbara F, Gindre C, Swendsen JD, Verdoux H. Cannabis use and anxiety in daily life: a naturalistic investigation in a non-clinical population. *Psychiatry Res.* 2003;118(1):1-8.
- Hall W, Solowij N. Adverse effects of cannabis. Lancet. 1998;352(9140):1611-1616.
- Ogborne AC, Smart RG, Weber T, Birchmore-Timney C. Who is using cannabis as a medicine and why: an exploratory study. *J Psychoactive Drugs*. 2000; 32(4):435-443.
- Buckner JD, Schmidt NB, Bobadilla L, Taylor J. Social anxiety and problematic cannabis use: evaluating the moderating role of stress reactivity and perceived coping. *Behav Res Ther.* 2006;44(7):1007-1015.
- Bonn-Miller MO, Zvolensky MJ, Bernstein A. Marijuana use motives: concurrent relations to frequency of past 30-day use and anxiety sensitivity among young adult marijuana smokers. *Addict Behav.* 2007;32(1):49-62.
- Spalletta G, Bria P, Caltagirone C. Differences in temperament, character and psychopathology among subjects with different patterns of cannabis use. *Psychopathology*. 2007;40(1):29-34.
- Schofield D, Tennant C, Nash L, Degenhardt L, Cornish A, Hobbs C, Brennan G. Reasons for cannabis use in psychosis. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2006; 40(6-7):570-574.
- Hides L, Dawe S, Kavanagh D, Young R. Psychotic symptom and cannabis relapse in recent-onset psychosis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2006;189:137-143.
- Haney M. The marijuana withdrawal syndrome: diagnosis and treatment. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2005;7(5):360-366.
- Ashton CH. Pharmacology and effects of cannabis: a brief review. Br J Psychiatry. 2001;178:101-106.
- D'Souza DC, Perry E, MacDougall L, Ammerman Y, Cooper T, Wu YT, Braley G, Gueorguieva R, Krystal JH. The psychotomimetic effects of intravenous delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy individuals: implications for psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2004;29(8):1558-1572.
- Robson P. Therapeutic aspects of cannabis and cannabinoids. Br J Psychiatry. 2001;178:107-115.
- Heishman SJ, Stitzer ML, Yingling JE. Effects of tetrahydrocannabinol content on marijuana smoking behavior, subjective reports, and performance. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 1989;34(1):173-179.
- Viveros MP, Marco E, File S. Endocannabinoid system and stress and anxiety responses. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2005;81(2):331-342.
- Berrendero F, Maldonado R. Involvement of the opioid system in the anxiolyticlike effects induced by delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2002;163(1):111-117.
- Manzanares J, Corchero J, Fuentes JA. Opioid and cannabinoid receptormediated regulation of the increase in adrenocorticotropin hormone and corticosterone plasma concentrations induced by central administration of delta (9)-tetrahydrocannabinol in rats. *Brain Res.* 1999;839(1):173-179.

WWW.ARCHGENPSYCHIATRY.COM

(REPRINTED) ARCH GEN PSYCHIATRY/VOL 66 (NO. 1), JAN 2009 103

- Guimarães FS, Chiaretti T, Graeff F, Zuardi AW. Antianxiety effect of cannabidiol in the elevated plus-maze. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 1990;100(4): 558-559.
- Williamson EM, Evans F. Cannabinoids in clinical practice. *Drugs.* 2000;60(6): 1303-1314.
- Moreira FA, Aguiar D, Guimares F. Anxiolytic-like effect of cannabidiol in the rat Vogel conflict test. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2006; 30(8):1466-1471.
- Crippa JA, Zuardi AW, Garrido GE, Wichert-Ana L, Guarnieri R, Ferrari L, Azevedo-Marques PM, Hallak JE, McGuire PK, Filho Busatto G. Effects of cannabidiol (CBD) on regional cerebral blood flow. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2004; 29(2):417-426.
- Zuardi A, Cosme R, Graeff F, Guimaraes F. Effect of ipsapirone and cannabidiol on human experimental anxiety. J Psychopharmacol. 1993;7(1)(suppl):82-88.
- Zuardi AW, Shirakawa L, Finkelfarb E, Karniol IG. Action of cannabidiol on the anxiety and other effects produced by delta9-THC in normal subjects. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 1982;76(3):245-250.
- Devane WA, Hanus L, Breuer A, Pertwee RG, Stevenson LA, Griffin G, Gibson D, Mandelbaum A, Etinger A, Mechoulam R. Isolation and structure of a brain constituent that binds to the cannabinoid receptor. *Science*. 1992;258(5090): 1946-1949.
- Mechoulam R, Lichtman AH. Neuroscience: stout guards of the central nervous system. *Science*. 2003;302(5642):65-67.
- Herkenham M. Localization of cannabinoid receptors in brain and periphery. In: Pertwee R, ed. *Cannabinoid Receptors*. London, England: Academic Press; 1995:145-166.
- Braida D, Liminta V, Malabarba L, Zani A, Sala M. 5-HT(1A) receptors are involved in the anxiolytic effect of delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol and AM404, the anandamide transport inhibitor, in Sprague-Dawley rats. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2007; 555(2-3):156-163.
- Petitet F, Jeantaud B, Reibaud M, Imperato A, Dubroeucq MC. Complex pharmacology of natural cannabinoids: evidence for partial agonist activity of delta9tetrahydrocannabinol and antagonist activity of cannabidiol on rat brain cannabinoid receptors. *Life Sci.* 1998;63(1):PL1-PL6.
- Thomas BF, Gilliam AF, Burch DF, Roche MJ, Seltzman HH. Comparative receptor binding analyses of cannabinoid agonists and antagonists. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 1998;285(1):285-292.
- Bisogno T, Hanus L, De Petrocellis L, Tchilibon S, Ponde DE, Brandi I, Moriello AS, Davis JB, Mechoulam R, Di Marzo V. Molecular targets for cannabidiol and its synthetic analogues: effect on vanilloid VR1 receptors and on the cellular uptake and enzymatic hydrolysis of anandamide. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2001; 134(4):845-852.
- Mechoulam R, Parker L, Gallily R. Cannabidiol: an overview of some pharmacological aspects. J Clin Pharmacol. 2002;42(11)(suppl):11S-19S.
- Russo EB, Burnett A, Hall B, Parker KK. Agonistic properties of cannabidiol at 5-HT1a receptors. *Neurochem Res.* 2005;30(8):1037-1043.
- Carrier EJ, Auchampach JA, Hillard CJ. Inhibition of an equilibrative nucleoside transporter by cannabidiol: a mechanism of cannabinoid immunosuppression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006;103(20):7895-7900.
- Quickfall J, Crockford D. Brain neuroimaging in cannabis use: a review. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2006;18(3):318-332.
- Ishai A, Schmidt CF, Boesiger P. Face perception is mediated by a distributed cortical network. *Brain Res Bull.* 2005;67(1-2):87-93.
- Haxby JV, Hoffman EA, Gobbini MI. Human neural systems for face recognition and social communication. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2002;51(1):59-67.
- Phelps EA, O'Connor KJ, Gatenby JC, Gore JC, Grillon C, Davis M. Activation of the left amygdala to a cognitive representation of fear. *Nat Neurosci.* 2001; 4(4):437-441.
- Williams LM, Phillips ML, Brammer MJ, Skerrett D, Lagopoulos J, Rennie C, Bahramali H, Olivieri G, David AS, Peduto A, Gordon E. Arousal dissociates amygdala and hippocampal fear responses: evidence from simultaneous fMRI and skin conductance recording. *Neuroimage*. 2001;14(5):1070-1079.
- Willshire D, Kinsella G, Prior M. Estimating WAIS-R IQ from the National Adult Reading Test: a cross-validation. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol.* 1991;13(2):204-216.
- McLellan AT, Luborsky L, Woody GE, O'Brien CP. An improved diagnostic evaluation instrument for substance abuse patients: the Addiction Severity Index. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 1980;168(1):26-33.
- Folstein MF, Luria R. Reliability, validity, and clinical application of the Visual Analogue Mood Scale. *Psychol Med.* 1973;3(4):479-486.
- Spielberger C. Manual of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, Inc; 1983.
- Mathew RJ, Wilson WH, Chiu NY, Turkington TG, Degrado TR, Coleman RE. Regional cerebral blood flow and depersonalization after tetrahydrocannabinol administration. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1999;100(1):67-75.

- Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* 1987;13(2):261-276.
- Young A, Perret D, Calder A, Sprengelmeyer R, Ekman P. Facial Expressions of Emotion: Stimuli and Tests (FEEST). Suffolk, England: Thames Valley Test Co; 2002.
- Surguladze S, Brammer MJ, Keedwell P, Giampietro V, Young AW, Travis MJ, Williams SC, Phillips ML. A differential pattern of neural response toward sad versus happy facial expressions in major depressive disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005;57(3):201-209.
- Keedwell PA, Andrew C, Williams SC, Brammer MJ, Phillips ML. A double dissociation of ventromedial prefrontal cortical responses to sad and happy stimuli in depressed and healthy individuals. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005;58(6):495-503.
- Machin D, Campbell M, Fayers P, Pinol A. Sample Size Tables for Clinical Studies. Malden, MA: Blackwell Science; 1997:73-74.
- Friston KJ, Holmes AP, Worsley KJ. How many subjects constitute a study? *Neuroimage*. 1999;10(1):1-5.
- Wilkinson D, Halligan P. The relevance of behavioural measures for functionalimaging studies of cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2004;5(1):67-73.
- Zuardi AW, Crippa J, Hallack J, Moreira F, Guimares F. Cannabidiol, a cannabis sativa constituent, as an antipsychotic drug. *Braz J Med Biol Res.* 2006; 39(4):421-429.
- Phillips ML, Young A, Senior C, Brammer M, Andrew C, Calder AJ, Bullmore ET, Perrett DI, Rowland D, Williams SC, Gray JA, David AS. A specific neural substrate for perceiving facial expression of disgust. *Nature*. 1997;389(6650): 495-498.
- Morris JS, Friston K, Perrett D. A differential neural response in the human amygdala to fearful and happy facial expression. *Nature*. 1996;383(6603):812-815.
- Breiter HC, Etcoff N, Walen W. Response and habituation of the human amygdala during visual processing of facial expression. *Neuron.* 1996;17(5):875-887.
- Phillips ML, Young A, Scott S, Calder AJ, Andrew C, Giampietro V, Williams SC, Bullmore ET, Brammer M, Gray JA. Neural responses to facial and vocal expressions of fear and disgust. *Proc Biol Sci.* 1998;265(1408):1809-1817.
- Morris JS, Friston K, Buchel C, Frith CD, Young AW, Calder AJ, Dolan RJ. A neuromodulatory role for the human amygdala in processing emotional facial expression. *Brain*. 1998;121(pt 1):47-57.
- Morris JS, Ohman A, Dolan R. A subcortical pathway to the right amygdala mediating unseen fear. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999;96(4):1680-1685.
- Adolphs R, Tranel D, Damasio H, Damasio A. Impaired recognition of emotion in facial expressions following bilateral damage to the human amygdala. *Nature*. 1994;372(6507):669-672.
- Lee GP, Bechara A, Adolphs R, Arena J, Meador KJ, Loring DW, Smith JR. Clinical and physiological effects of stereotaxic bilateral amygdalotomy for intractable aggression. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 1998;10(4):413-420.
- Sequeira H, Roy J. Progress in electrodermal research. In: Roy JC, Boucsein W, Fowles DC, Gruzelier JH, eds. Cortical and hypothalamo-limbic control of electrodermal responses. New York, NY: Plenum Press; 1993:93-114.
- Critchley H, Daly E, Phillips M, Brammer M, Bullmore E, Williams S, Van Amelsvoort T, Robertson D, David A, Murphy D. Explicit and implicit neural mechanisms for processing of social information from facial expressions: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2000;9(2):93-105.
- Blair RJ, Morris JS, Frith CD, Perrett DI, Dolan RJ. Dissociable neural responses to facial expressions of sadness and anger. *Brain.* 1999;122(pt 5): 883-893.
- Morris JS, Ohman A, Dolan RJ. Conscious and unconscious emotional learning in the human amygdala. *Nature*. 1998;393(6684):467-470.
- Zald DH, Lee JT, Fluegel KW, Pardo JV. Aversive gustatory stimulation activates limbic circuits in humans. *Brain*. 1998;121(pt 6):1143-1154.
- Patterson JC II, Ungerleider LG, Bandettini PA. Task-independent functional brain activity correlation with skin conductance changes: an fMRI study. *Neuroimage*. 2002;17(4):1797-1806.
- Rudebeck PH, Buckley MJ, Walton ME, Rushworth MF. A role for the macaque anterior cingulate gyrus in social valuation. *Science*. 2006;313(5791):1310-1312.
- Hadland KA, Rushworth MF, Gaffan D, Passingham RE. The effect of cingulate lesions on social behaviour and emotion. *Neuropsychologia*. 2003;41(8): 919-931.
- Killgore WD, Yurgelun-Todd DA. Activation of the amygdala and anterior cingulate during nonconscious processing of sad versus happy faces. *Neuroimage*. 2004;21(4):1215-1223.
- Ghashghaei HT, Hilgetag CC, Barbas H. Sequence of information processing for emotions based on the anatomic dialogue between prefrontal cortex and amygdala. *Neuroimage*. 2007;34(3):905-923.

WWW.ARCHGENPSYCHIATRY.COM

(REPRINTED) ARCH GEN PSYCHIATRY/VOL 66 (NO. 1), JAN 2009 104

- Das P, Kemp AH, Flynn G, Harris AW, Liddell BJ, Whitford TJ, Peduto A, Gordon E, Williams LM. Functional disconnections in the direct and indirect amygdala pathways for fear processing in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 2007; 90(1-3):284-294.
- Pissiota A, Frans O, Michelgard A, Appel L, Långström B, Flaten MA, Fredrikson M. Amygdala and anterior cingulate cortex activation during affective startle modulation: a PET study of fear. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2003;18(5):1325-1331.
- Bush G, Luu P, Posner MI. Cognitive and emotional influences in anterior cingulate cortex. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2000;4(6):215-222.
- Turner BM, Paradiso S, Marvel CL, Pierson R, Boles Ponto LL, Hichwa RD, Robinson RG. The cerebellum and emotional experience. *Neuropsychologia*. 2007; 45(6):1331-1341.
- Schmahmann J. The role of cerebellum in affect and psychosis. J Neurolinguist. 2000;13(2):189-214.
- Reiman EM, Lane R, Ahern G, Schwartz GE, Davidson RJ, Friston KJ, Yun LS, Chen K. Neuroanatomical correlates of externally and internally generated human emotion. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1997;154(7):918-925.
- Sacchetti B, Baldi E, Lorenzini CA, Bucherelli C. Cerebellar role in fearconditioning consolidation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002;99(12):8406-8411.
- Frings M, Maschke M, Erichsen M, Jentzen W, Müller SP, Kolb FP, Diener HC, Timmann D. Involvement of the human cerebellum in fear-conditioned potentiation of the acoustic startle response: a PET study. *Neuroreport.* 2002; 13(10):1275-1278.
- Resstel LB, Joca SR, Moreira FA, Correa FM, Guimaraes FS. Effects of cannabidiol and diazepam on behavioral and cardiovascular responses induced by contextual conditioned fear in rats. *Behav Brain Res.* 2006;172(2):294-298.
- Mathew RJ, Wilson WH, Coleman RE, Turkington TG, DeGrado TR. Marijuana intoxication and brain activation in marijuana smokers. *Life Sci.* 1997;60 (23):2075-2089.
- Mathew RJ, Wilson WH, Turkington TG, Coleman RE. Cerebellar activity and disturbed time sense after THC. *Brain Res.* 1998;797(2):183-189.
- Mathew RJ, Wilson WH, Turkington TG, Hawk TC, Coleman RE, DeGrado TR, Provenzale J. Time course of tetrahydrocannabinol-induced changes in regional cerebral blood flow measured with positron emission tomography. *Psychiatry Res.* 2002;116(3):173-185.
- Volkow ND, Gillespie H, Mullani N, Tancredi L, Grant C, Valentine A, Hollister L. Brain glucose metabolism in chronic marijuana users at baseline and during marijuana intoxication. *Psychiatry Res.* 1996;67(1):29-38.
- 92. Volkow ND, Gillespie H, Mullani N, Tancredi L, Grant C, Ivanovic M, Hollister L.

Cerebellar metabolic activation by delta-9-tetrahydro-cannabinol in human brain: a study with positron emission tomography and 18F-2-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose. *Psychiatry Res.* 1991;40(1):69-78.

- Block RI, O'Leary DS, Hichwa RD, Augustinack JC, Ponto LL, Ghoneim MM, Arndt S, Ehrhardt JC, Hurtig RR, Watkins GL, Hall JA, Nathan PE, Andreasen NC. Cerebellar hypoactivity in frequent marijuana users. *Neuroreport*. 2000; 11(4):749-753.
- Ghozland S, Aguado F, Espinosa-Parrilla JF, Soriano E, Maldonado R. Spontaneous network activity of cerebellar granule neurons: impairment by in vivo chronic cannabinoid administration. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2002;16(4):641-651.
- Marsicano G, Wotjak CT, Azad SC, Bisogno T, Rammes G, Cascio MG, Hermann H, Tang J, Hofmann C, Zieglgänsberger W, Di Marzo V, Lutz B. The endogenous cannabinoid system controls extinction of aversive memories. *Nature*. 2002;418(6897):530-534.
- Gaetani S, Cuomo V, Piomelli D. Anandamide hydrolysis: a new target for antianxiety drugs? *Trends Mol Med.* 2003;9(11):474-478.
- Freund TF, Katona I, Piomelli D. Role of endogenous cannabinoids in synaptic signaling. *Physiol Rev.* 2003;83(3):1017-1066.
- Watanabe K, Kayano Y, Matsunaga T, Yamamoto I, Yoshimura H. Inhibition of anandamide amidase activity in mouse brain microsomes by cannabinoids. *Biol Pharm Bull.* 1996;19(8):1109-1111.
- Rakhshan F, Day TA, Blakely RD, Barker EL. Carrier-mediated uptake of the endogenous cannabinoid anandamide in RBL-2H3 cells. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2000;292(3):960-967.
- D'Souza DC, Abi-Saab WM, Madonick S, Forselius-Bielen K, Doersch A, Braley G, Gueorguieva R, Cooper TB, Krystal JH. Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol effects in schizophrenia: implications for cognition, psychosis, and addiction. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2005;57(6):594-608.
- Solowij N, Michie PT. Cannabis and cognitive dysfunction: parallels with endophenotypes of schizophrenia? J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2007;32(1):30-52.
- Ranganathan M, D'Souza DC. The acute effects of cannabinoids on memory in humans: a review. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2006;188(4):425-444.
- Gonzalez R. Acute and non-acute effects of cannabis on brain functioning and neuropsychological performance. *Neuropsychol Rev.* 2007;17(3):347-361.
- 104. Henquet C, Rosa A, Krabbendam L, Papiol S, Fananás L, Drukker M, Ramaekers JG, van Os J. An experimental study of catechol-o-methyltransferase Val158Met moderation of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol-induced effects on psychosis and cognition. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2006;31(12):2748-2757.
- Murray RM, Morrison PD, Henquet C, Di Forti M. Cannabis, the mind and society: the hash realities. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2007;8(11):885-895.